

# CONTENTS

## Volume 1: Chapters

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| SUMMARY.....   | S-1  |
| S.1    Introduction and Background.....  | S-1  |
| S.2    Purpose of and Need for a High-Speed Train System in California .....   | S-2  |
| S.2-1    Purposes.....   | S-2  |
| S.2-2    Statewide Need .....  | S-3  |
| S.2-3    Regional Need.....  | S-3  |
| S.3    Alternatives .....  | S-4  |
| S.3-1    No Project Alternative .....  | S-4  |
| S.3-2    High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options.....                                       | S-5  |
| S.4    Areas of Controversy .....  | S-10 |
| S.5    Avoidance and Minimization.....   | S-10 |
| S.6    HST Station Area Development .....  | S-12 |
| S.7    Public and Agency Involvement .....   | S-12 |
| S.7-1    Summary of Comments on the Identification of the Preferred Alternative .....                                    | S-12 |
| S.8    High-Speed Train Network Alternatives Evaluation .....  | S-15 |
| S.8-1    Comparison of Pacheco Pass and Altamont Pass Alternatives .....   | S-16 |
| S.10    HST Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options for the Preferred Pacheco Pass Network Alternative ..... | S-21 |
| S.10-1    San Francisco to San Jose: <i>Caltrain Corridor (Shared Use)</i> .....   | S-21 |
| S.11    Least Environmentally Damaging Preferred Alternative (LEDPA) .....   | S-22 |
| S.12    Next Steps in the Environmental Process .....  | S-23 |
| S.13    Altamont Pass Project.....   | S-23 |
| 1    PURPOSE AND NEED AND OBJECTIVES.....  | 1-1  |
| 1.1    Introduction.....   | 1-1  |
| 1.2    Purpose of and Need for High-Speed Train System .....   | 1-4  |
| 1.2.1    Purpose of High-Speed Train System .....  | 1-4  |
| 1.2.2    Statewide and Regional Need for High-Speed Train System .....   | 1-5  |
| 2    ALTERNATIVES.....   | 2-1  |
| 2.1    Summary of Alternatives.....  | 2-1  |
| 2.1.1    No Project Alternative .....  | 2-2  |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 2.1.2    | High-Speed Train Network and Alignment Alternatives.....                                | 2-2        |
| 2.2      | Chapter Organization .....  | 2-2        |
| 2.3      | Development of Alternatives .....   | 2-2        |
| 2.3.1    | Background.....   | 2-2        |
| 2.3.2    | Statewide Program EIR/EIS.....  | 2-5        |
| 2.3.3    | Formulation of Alternatives for the Bay Area to Central Valley Region.....              | 2-10       |
| 2.4      | No Project Alternative.....   | 2-19       |
| 2.4.1    | Highway Element .....   | 2-20       |
| 2.4.2    | Aviation Element .....  | 2-20       |
| 2.4.3    | Conventional Passenger Rail and Bay Area Transit Elements .....                         | 2-22       |
| 2.5      | High-Speed Train Alternatives.....  | 2-22       |
| 2.5.1    | HST Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options .....                           | 2-27       |
| 2.5.2    | Alignment Alternatives and Station Locations Considered and Rejected .....              | 2-42       |
| 2.5.3    | Maintenance and Storage Facilities .....  | 2-45       |
| <b>3</b> | <b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES.....</b> | <b>3-1</b> |
| 3.0      | Introduction.....   | 3.0-1      |
| 3.0.1    | Purpose and Content of This Chapter.....  | 3.0-1      |
| 3.0.2    | Organization of This Chapter .....  | 3.0-2      |
| 3.1      | Traffic, Transit, Circulation, and Parking .....  | 3.1-1      |
| 3.1.1    | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....                                  | 3.1-1      |
| 3.1.2    | Affected Environment .....  | 3.1-5      |
| 3.1.3    | Environmental Consequences .....  | 3.1-23     |
| 3.1.4    | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....                       | 3.1-37     |
| 3.1.5    | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Effects.....                                | 3.1-37     |
| 3.1.6    | Subsequent Analysis .....   | 3.1-39     |
| 3.2      | Travel Conditions .....   | 3.2-1      |
| 3.2.1    | Methods of Evaluation .....   | 3.2-1      |
| 3.2.2    | Affected Environment .....  | 3.2-4      |
| 3.2.3    | Environmental Consequences .....  | 3.2-6      |
| 3.2.4    | High-Speed Train Network Alternatives and Station Location Options Comparison .....     | 3.2-31     |
| 3.3      | Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....  | 3.3-1      |
| 3.3.1    | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....                                  | 3.3-1      |
| 3.3.2    | Affected Environment .....  | 3.3-12     |
| 3.3.3    | Environmental Consequences .....  | 3.3-15     |
| 3.3.4    | Design Practices .....  | 3.3-27     |

|       |  |        |
|-------|--|--------|
| 3.3.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.3-28 |
| 3.3.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.3-29 |
| 3.4   | Noise and Vibration .....  | 3.4-1  |
| 3.4.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.4-1  |
| 3.4.2 | Affected Environment .....   | 3.4-8  |
| 3.4.3 | Environmental Consequences.....  | 3.4-12 |
| 3.4.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....                              | 3.4-21 |
| 3.4.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.4-22 |
| 3.4.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.4-26 |
| 3.5   | Energy .....   | 3.5-1  |
| 3.5.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.5-1  |
| 3.5.2 | Affected Environment .....   | 3.5-5  |
| 3.5.3 | Environmental Consequences.....  | 3.5-11 |
| 3.5.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....                              | 3.5-17 |
| 3.5.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.5-17 |
| 3.5.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.5-18 |
| 3.6   | Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference.....                                   | 3.6-1  |
| 3.6.1 | Regulatory Requirements .....  | 3.6-1  |
| 3.6.2 | Characteristics of Electromagnetic Fields.....   | 3.6-1  |
| 3.6.3 | Environmental Consequences: Past Findings .....  | 3.6-2  |
| 3.6.4 | Design Practices .....   | 3.6-4  |
| 3.6.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.6-4  |
| 3.6.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.6-5  |
| 3.7   | Land Use and Planning, Communities and Neighborhoods, Property, and Environmental Justice..... | 3.7-1  |
| 3.7.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.7-1  |
| 3.7.2 | Affected Environment .....   | 3.7-5  |
| 3.7.3 | Environmental Consequences .....   | 3.7-19 |
| 3.7.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....                              | 3.7-41 |
| 3.7.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.7-42 |
| 3.7.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.7-44 |
| 3.8   | Agricultural Lands .....   | 3.8-1  |
| 3.8.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.8-1  |
| 3.8.2 | Affected Environment .....   | 3.8-4  |
| 3.8.3 | Environmental Consequences .....   | 3.8-5  |
| 3.8.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....                              | 3.8-11 |
| 3.8.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....                                  | 3.8-11 |
| 3.8.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....  | 3.8-13 |

|        |   |         |
|--------|---|---------|
| 3.9    | Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....                              | 3.9-1   |
| 3.9.1  | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.9-1   |
| 3.9.2  | Affected Environment .....  | 3.9-2   |
| 3.9.3  | Environmental Consequences .....                                  | 3.9-6   |
| 3.9.4  | Photo Simulations of Alternatives in Selected Scenic Areas .....  | 3.9-36  |
| 3.9.5  | Design Practices .....  | 3.9-36  |
| 3.9.6  | CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Strategies .....     | 3.9-37  |
| 3.9.7  | Subsequent Analysis .....   | 3.9-38  |
| 3.10   | Public Utilities .....  | 3.10-1  |
| 3.10.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.10-1  |
| 3.10.2 | Affected Environment .....  | 3.10-4  |
| 3.10.3 | Environmental Consequences .....                                  | 3.10-5  |
| 3.10.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects ..... | 3.10-10 |
| 3.10.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....     | 3.10-10 |
| 3.10.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....   | 3.10-11 |
| 3.11   | Hazardous Materials and Wastes.....                               | 3.11-1  |
| 3.11.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.11-1  |
| 3.11.2 | Affected Environment .....  | 3.11-6  |
| 3.11.3 | Environmental Consequences .....                                  | 3.11-8  |
| 3.11.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects ..... | 3.11-15 |
| 3.11.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....     | 3.11-15 |
| 3.11.6 | Subsequent Analysis .....   | 3.11-17 |
| 3.12   | Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources.....             | 3.12-1  |
| 3.12.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.12-1  |
| 3.12.2 | Affected Environment .....  | 3.12-6  |
| 3.12.3 | Environmental Consequences .....                                  | 3.12-11 |
| 3.12.4 | Conclusion .....  | 3.12-25 |
| 3.12.5 | Design Practices .....  | 3.12-25 |
| 3.12.6 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....     | 3.12-25 |
| 3.12.7 | Subsequent Analysis .....   | 3.12-29 |
| 3.13   | Geology and Soils.....  | 3.13-1  |
| 3.13.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.13-1  |
| 3.13.2 | Affected Environment .....  | 3.13-5  |
| 3.13.3 | Environmental Consequences .....                                  | 3.13-8  |
| 3.13.4 | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects ..... | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13.5 | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....     | 3.13-21 |
| 3.14   | Hydrology and Water Resources .....                               | 3.14-1  |
| 3.14.1 | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....            | 3.14-1  |
| 3.14.2 | Affected Environment .....  | 3.14-6  |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.14.3   | Environmental Consequences .....   | 3.14-13    |
| 3.14.4   | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....  | 3.14-49    |
| 3.14.5   | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....  | 3.14-49    |
| 3.15     | Biological Resources and Wetlands.....   | 3.15-1     |
| 3.15.1   | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.15-1     |
| 3.15.2   | Affected Environment .....   | 3.15-12    |
| 3.15.3   | Environmental Consequences .....   | 3.15-25    |
| 3.15.4   | Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects .....  | 3.15-65    |
| 3.15.5   | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....  | 3.15-65    |
| 3.16     | Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources (Public Parks and Recreation) .....  | 3.16-1     |
| 3.16.1   | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.16-1     |
| 3.16.2   | Affected Environment .....   | 3.16-3     |
| 3.16.3   | Environmental Consequences .....   | 3.16-5     |
| 3.16.4   | Impact Avoidance Strategies, Including Alternatives Screened<br>from Further Consideration .....                           | 3.16-18    |
| 3.16.5   | Avoidance Alternatives or Reasons for No Prudent or Feasible<br>Alternative for Use of Section 4(f) or 6(f) Resource ..... | 3.16-18    |
| 3.16.6   | Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions .....  | 3.16-19    |
| 3.16.7   | Subsequent Analysis.....   | 3.16-20    |
| 3.17     | Cumulative Analysis .....  | 3.17-1     |
| 3.17.1   | Purpose and Content of This Section .....  | 3.17-1     |
| 3.17.2   | Regulatory Requirements and Methods of Evaluation.....   | 3.17-1     |
| 3.17.3   | Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts.....  | 3.17-3     |
| 3.17.4   | Analysis of Cumulative Impacts .....   | 3.17-12    |
| 3.17.5   | Mitigation Strategies .....  | 3.17-27    |
| 3.18     | Construction Methods and Impacts .....   | 3.18-1     |
| 3.18.1   | Construction Method Approach.....  | 3.18-1     |
| 3.18.2   | Planned Highway Improvements .....   | 3.18-1     |
| 3.18.3   | Highway Improvement Process .....  | 3.18-2     |
| 3.18.4   | High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives .....  | 3.18-4     |
| 3.18.5   | High-Speed Train Stations/Facilities .....   | 3.18-10    |
| <b>4</b> | <b>COSTS AND OPERATIONS .....</b>  | <b>4-1</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction.....  | 4-1        |
| 4.2      | Capital Costs.....   | 4-1        |
| 4.3      | Operations and Maintenance Costs.....  | 4-19       |

|        |  |      |
|--------|--|------|
| 5      | ECONOMIC GROWTH AND RELATED IMPACTS .....  | 5-1  |
| 5.1    | Introduction.....  | 5-1  |
| 5.2    | Affected Environment.....  | 5-1  |
| 5.2.1  | Existing Conditions .....  | 5-1  |
| 5.2.2  | Study Area and Alternatives .....  | 5-3  |
| 5.2.3  | Analysis Years .....   | 5-4  |
| 5.3    | Potential Growth-Inducing Effects .....  | 5-4  |
| 5.3.1  | Methodology and Data Sources .....   | 5-4  |
| 5.3.2  | Financing of Alternatives.....   | 5-7  |
| 5.3.3  | Statewide Comparison of Alternatives .....   | 5-7  |
| 5.3.4  | Detail for No Project Alternative .....  | 5-12 |
| 5.3.5  | Detail for HST Network Alternatives.....   | 5-13 |
| 5.3.6  | Study Area Effects .....   | 5-13 |
| 5.3.7  | Summary of Effects .....   | 5-16 |
| 5.4    | Potential Indirect Impacts of Induced Growth .....   | 5-18 |
| 5.4.1  | Transportation .....   | 5-18 |
| 5.4.2  | Air Quality.....   | 5-19 |
| 5.4.3  | Noise and Vibration .....  | 5-19 |
| 5.4.4  | Energy.....  | 5-19 |
| 5.4.5  | Electromagnetic Frequency and Electromagnetic Interference .....   | 5-20 |
| 5.4.6  | Land Use, Communities and Neighborhoods, Property, and Environmental Justice .....                                     | 5-20 |
| 5.4.7  | Farmland and Agriculture.....  | 5-22 |
| 5.4.8  | Aesthetics and Visual Resources .....  | 5-25 |
| 5.4.9  | Utilities and Public Services .....  | 5-25 |
| 5.4.10 | Hazardous Materials and Wastes .....   | 5-26 |
| 5.4.11 | Cultural and Paleontological Resources .....   | 5-26 |
| 5.4.12 | Geology and Soils .....  | 5-26 |
| 5.4.13 | Hydrology and Water Resources.....   | 5-26 |
| 5.4.14 | Biological Resources .....   | 5-27 |
| 5.4.15 | Wetlands .....   | 5-28 |
| 5.4.16 | Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources (Public Parks and Recreation).....   | 5-29 |
| 5.5    | Growth Inducement and Secondary Impact Differences among HST Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options ..... | 5-30 |
| 5.6    | Managing Growth-Inducing and Indirect Effects .....  | 5-31 |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| <b>6</b> | <b>HST STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT .....</b>  | <b>6-1</b> |
| 6.1      | General Principles for HST Station Area Development.....   | 6-1        |
| 6.2      | Implementation of HST Station Area Development Guidelines.....   | 6-2        |
| 6.2.1    | Select Station Locations that Are Multi-Modal Transportation Hubs,<br>Preferably in Traditional City Centers. ....   | 6-2        |
| 6.2.2    | Adopt HST Station Area Development Policies that Require TOD,<br>and Promote Value-Capture at and around Stations as a Condition<br>for Selecting a HST Station Site. ....   | 6-3        |
| 6.2.3    | Provide Incentives for Local Governments in which Potential HST<br>Stations Would Be Located to Prepare and Adopt Station Area<br>Plans, Amend City and County General Plans, and Encourage TOD<br>in the Vicinity of HST Stations ..... | 6-4        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>High-Speed Train Network and Alignment Alternatives Comparisons .....</b>   | <b>7-1</b> |
| 7.1      | Introduction.....  | 7-1        |
| 7.1.1    | Purpose and Content of This Chapter.....   | 7-1        |
| 7.1.2    | Organization of This Chapter.....  | 7-1        |
| 7.2      | Network Alternatives.....  | 7-3        |
| 7.2.1    | Altamont Pass Alternatives .....   | 7-4        |
| 7.2.2    | Pacheco Pass Alternatives .....  | 7-48       |
| 7.2.3    | Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (local service) .....  | 7-71       |
| 7.3      | Alignment Alternatives .....   | 7-89       |
| 7.3.1    | Bay Area to Central Valley Station Options .....   | 7-140      |
| <b>8</b> | <b>PREFERRED HST ALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES AND STATION LOCATION OPTIONS .....</b>   | <b>8-1</b> |
| 8.1      | Introduction.....  | 8-1        |
| 8.2      | Summary of Comments on the Identification of the Preferred Alternative .....   | 8-3        |
| 8.2.1    | Pacheco .....  | 8-3        |
| 8.2.2    | Altamont .....   | 8-4        |
| 8.2.3    | Combined Pacheco and Altamont .....  | 8-5        |
| 8.3      | Network Alternatives Evaluation .....  | 8-6        |
| 8.3.1    | Pacheco Pass Network Alternatives Evaluation.....  | 8-7        |
| 8.3.2    | Altamont Pass Network Alternatives Evaluation .....  | 8-9        |
| 8.3.3    | Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service) Network<br>Alternatives Evaluation.....  | 8-11       |
| 8.3.4    | Comparison of Pacheco Pass and Altamont Pass Alternatives .....  | 8-12       |

|           |   |             |
|-----------|---|-------------|
| 8.4       | The MTC's "Regional Rail Plan for the San Francisco Bay Area" .....   | 8-14        |
| 8.5       | Preferred HST Network Alternative.....  | 8-15        |
| 8.6       | Preferred HST Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options for the Preferred Pacheco Pass Network Alternative .....                                | 8-17        |
| 8.6.1     | San Francisco to San Jose.....  | 8-17        |
| 8.6.2     | San Jose to Central Valley: Pacheco Pass.....   | 8-19        |
| 8.6.3     | Central Valley.....   | 8-21        |
| 8.6.4     | Maintenance Facilities.....   | 8-22        |
| 8.6.5     | San Francisco Bay Crossings .....   | 8-23        |
| 8.7       | Altamont Pass Project.....  | 8-24        |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS .....</b>  | <b>9-1</b>  |
| 9.1       | Potentially Adverse Unavoidable Significant Impacts.....  | 9-1         |
| 9.1.1     | Fuel Consumption and Energy Use .....   | 9-1         |
| 9.1.2     | Biological Resources and Wetlands, Agricultural Land, Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources, Cultural and Paleontological Resources, and Visual Resources ..... | 9-1         |
| 9.1.3     | Construction Impacts.....   | 9-2         |
| 9.2       | Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of Environment and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity .....   | 9-2         |
| 9.3       | California Environmental Quality Act Significance .....   | 9-3         |
| 9.3.1     | California Environmental Quality Act Significance Thresholds.....   | 9-3         |
| 9.3.2     | Potentially Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts .....   | 9-4         |
| 9.3.3     | California Environmental Quality Act Environmentally Superior Alternative .....   | 9-5         |
| <b>10</b> | <b>PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT .....</b>  | <b>10-1</b> |
| 10.1      | Public Involvement and Outreach before Draft Program EIR/EIS Release .....  | 10-1        |
| 10.1.1    | Public Information .....  | 10-1        |
| 10.1.2    | Public Meetings .....   | 10-2        |
| 10.1.3    | Notification of Scoping Meetings .....  | 10-4        |
| 10.2      | Agency Consultation before Draft Program EIR/EIS Release .....  | 10-5        |
| 10.2.1    | Agency Scoping .....  | 10-5        |
| 10.2.2    | Interagency Consultation .....  | 10-6        |
| 10.2.3    | Other Agency Consultation.....  | 10-6        |
| 10.3      | Scoping Summary .....   | 10-6        |
| 10.4      | Notification and Circulation of the Draft Program EIR/EIS .....   | 10-7        |
| 10.4.1    | Public Hearings .....   | 10-8        |
| 10.4.2    | Summary of Comments .....   | 10-10       |
| 10.4.3    | Responses to Comments Received and the Final Program EIR/EIS ...  | 10-14       |
| 10.4.4    | Additional Agency Consultation and Outreach Activities.....   | 10-15       |

|         |   |       |
|---------|---|-------|
| 11      | ORGANIZATION, AGENCY, AND BUSINESS OUTREACH BEFORE DRAFT PROGRAM EIR/EIS RELEASE .....                      | 11-1  |
| 12      | LIST OF PREPARERS .....   | 12-1  |
| 12.1    | California High Speed Rail Authority .....  | 12-1  |
| 12.2    | Federal Railroad Administration.....  | 12-1  |
| 12.3    | List of Consultants.....  | 12-1  |
| 13      | DRAFT PROGRAM EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION .....  | 13-1  |
| 13.1    | Repository Locations .....  | 13-1  |
| 13.2    | Federal Agencies.....   | 13-2  |
| 13.3    | State Agencies .....  | 13-3  |
| 13.4    | Elected Officials .....   | 13-4  |
| 13.4.1  | Federal Elected Officials.....  | 13-4  |
| 13.4.2  | State Elected Officials .....   | 13-4  |
| 13.5    | Regional/Local Agencies .....   | 13-6  |
| 13.6    | Organizations and Businesses .....  | 13-6  |
| 13.7    | Native American Contacts .....  | 13-7  |
| 14      | SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION.....   | 14-1  |
| 14.1    | Summary.....  | 14-1  |
| 14.2    | Chapter 1 Purpose and Need and Objectives .....   | 14-1  |
| 14.3    | Chapter 2 Alternatives .....  | 14-2  |
| 14.4    | Chapter 3 Affected Environment .....  | 14-3  |
| 14.4.1  | Section 3.1 Traffic, Transit, Circulation, and Parking .....  | 14-3  |
| 14.4.2  | Section 3.2 Travel Conditions .....   | 14-3  |
| 14.4.3  | Section 3.3 Air Quality .....   | 14-4  |
| 14.4.4  | Section 3.4 Noise and Vibration .....   | 14-5  |
| 14.4.5  | Section 3.5 Energy .....  | 14-6  |
| 14.4.6  | Section 3.6 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference .....                                   | 14-8  |
| 14.4.7  | Section 3.7 Land Use and Planning, Communities and Neighborhoods, Property, and Environmental Justice ..... | 14-8  |
| 14.4.8  | Section 3.8 Agricultural Lands .....  | 14-9  |
| 14.4.9  | Section 3.11 Hazardous Materials and Wastes .....   | 14-9  |
| 14.4.10 | Section 3.12 Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources .....   | 14-10 |
| 14.4.11 | Section 3.13 Geology and Soils.....   | 14-11 |
| 14.4.12 | Section 3.14 Hydrology and Water Resources .....  | 14-11 |
| 14.4.13 | Section 3.15 Biological Resources and Wetlands .....  | 14-12 |
| 14.4.14 | Section 3.16 Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources (Public Parks and Recreation) .....                            | 14-13 |

|         |  |       |
|---------|--|-------|
| 14.4.15 | Section 3.17 Cumulative Analysis.....  | 14-13 |
| 14.5    | Chapter 4 Costs and Operations.....  | 14-15 |
| 14.6    | Chapter 5 Economic Growth and Related Impacts .....                            | 14-15 |
| 14.7    | Chapter 6 HST Station Area Development.....                                    | 14-16 |
| 14.8    | Chapter 7 High-Speed Train Network and Alignment Alternatives Comparisons..... | 14-16 |
| 14.9    | Chapter 8 Preferred HST Alignment and Station Location Options .....           | 14-16 |
| 14.10   | Chapter 9 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts .....                      | 14-16 |
| 14.11   | Chapter 10 Public and Agency Involvement .....                                 | 14-16 |
| 15      | GLOSSARY.....  | 15-1  |
| 16      | INDEX.....   | 16-1  |
| 17      | ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....   | 17-1  |

## APPENDICES

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| 2-A    | Detailed Description of Highway Element of No Project Alternative   |
| 2-B    | Detailed Description of Aviation Element of No Project Alternative  |
| 2-C-1  | No Project Alternative Projects Funded for Transit in the Bay Area  |
| 2-C-2  | No Project Alternative Projects Funded for Intercity and Freight Rail in the State of California          |
| 2-D    | Plans and Profiles  |
| 2E     | Cross Sections  |
| 2F     | Station Fact Sheets   |
| 2-G    | Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Eliminated from Further Consideration                 |
| 3.1-A  | Street Location Maps  |
| 3.3-A  | 2030 No Build Scenario VMT and VHT  |
| 3.4-A  | Noise and Vibration Impacts Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons |
| 3.7-A  | Land Use and Planning Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons       |
| 3.8-A  | Farmland Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons                    |
| 3.9-A  | Visual Impacts Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons              |
| 3.10-A | Regional Utility Providers  |
| 3.10-B | Public Utilities Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons            |

- 3.11-A Hazardous Materials/Waste Sites Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.12-A Cultural Resources Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.13-A Geology and Soils Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-A-1 Direct Water Resource Impacts Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-A-2 Indirect Water Resource Impacts Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-A-3 Direct Groundwater Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-A-4 Indirect Groundwater Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-A-5 303(d) Impaired Water Resources Impact Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.14-B Regional Water Quality Control Boards and Local Permitting Agencies/Water Districts
- 3.15-A-1 Special-Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur in High Speed Train Corridors
- 3.15-A-2 Associations for Plant Special-Status Species in the San Francisco to San Jose Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-3 Associations for Plant Covered Species in the Oakland to San Jose Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-4 Associations for Plant Special-Status Species in the San Jose to Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-5 Associations for Special-Status Plant Species in the East Bay to Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-6 Associations for Covered Plant Species in the Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-7 Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in High-Speed Train Corridors
- 3.15-A-8 Associations for Covered Wildlife Species in the San Francisco to San Jose Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-9 Associations for Covered Wildlife Species in the Oakland to San Jose Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-10 Associations for Covered Wildlife Species in the San Jose to Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-11 Associations for Covered Wildlife Species in the East Bay to Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative
- 3.15-A-12 Associations for Covered Wildlife Species in the Central Valley Corridor per Alignment Alternative

- 3.16-A      Section 4(f) + 6(f) Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons
- 3.17-A      Cumulative Analysis
- 4-A           Capital Cost: Unit Cost Table
- 4-B           Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate: Station Location
- 4-C           Capital Cost: Network Alternatives
- 4-D           Capital Cost: Definition of Cost Elements
- 4-E           Capital Cost: Station-to-Station Travel Times
  
- 8-A           Staff Recommendations: Preferred Network Alternative, HST Alignment and Station Locations (November 14, 2007)
- 8-B           U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Concurrence on the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative

| <b>Tables</b>  | <b>Page</b>  |
|--|--------------|
| S.3-1 Alignment Alternatives and Potential Station Location Options.....   | S-7          |
| S.8-1 Summary of Characteristics and Impacts for the Network Alternatives .....  | follows S-16 |
|  |              |
| 1.2-1 Travel Growth in 20 Years for Intercity Highways.....  | 1-7          |
| 1.2-2 Intercity Air Travel between Southern California and San Francisco Bay Area<br>(Annual Enplanements) .....                 | 1-7          |
| 1.2-3 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door to Door) between City Pairs by Auto, Air,<br>and Rail Peak Conditions .....             | 1-9          |
| 1.2-4 State of California Vehicular Accident Statistics .....  | 1-11         |
| 1.2-5 National Vehicular Crash Statistics .....  | 1-11         |
| 1.2-6 National Transportation Fatalities by Mode .....   | 1-12         |
|  |              |
| 2.3-1 Optimal Express Travel Times (220 mph [350 kph]).....  | 2-6          |
| 2.3-2 HST Performance Criteria .....   | 2-8          |
| 2.3-3 2030 Ridership Forecasts.....  | 2-12         |
| 2.4-1 Existing California Intercity Highway System.....  | 2-20         |
| 2.4-2 Assumed Total Programmed, Funded, and Operational Airport Improvements <sup>a</sup> ...                                    | 2-21         |
| 2.4-3 Assumed Programmed, Funded, and Operational Improvements Adjusted for<br>Trips inside California* .....                    | 2-22         |
| 2.5-1 Summary of Representative High-Speed Train Network Alternatives .....  | 2-23         |
| 2.5-2 High-Speed Rail Alignment and Station Evaluation Objectives and Criteria.....  | 2-28         |
| 2.5-3 Summary of Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options .....   | 2-30         |
| 2.5-4 Bay Area to Merced: High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives and Station<br>Location Options Considered and Eliminated..... | 2-43         |
|  |              |
| 3.1-1 Level of Service and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio Definition .....   | 3.1-4        |
| 3.1-2 Impacts to 2030 Peak-Hour Traffic on Intercity Freeways from Diversion to<br>HST .....                                     | 3.1-7        |
| 3.1-3 Impacts to Traffic, Transit, and Parking from HST Station Location Options.....  | 3.1-9        |
| 3.1-4 Connecting Transit Service at HST Station Location Options .....   | 3.1-12       |
| 3.2-1 Relation of Travel Factors and Purpose and Need/Objectives .....   | 3.2-3        |
| 3.2-2 Application of Transportation Factors to Alternatives .....  | 3.2-4        |
| 3.2-3 California Airport National Rankings (2002) .....  | 3.2-5        |
| 3.2-4 Existing Conditions Compared to No Project Alternative.....  | 3.2-7        |
| 3.2-5 Total Door-to-Door Peak Automobile Travel Times (Hours:Minutes) .....  | 3.2-9        |
| 3.2-6 Total Door-to-Door Peak Air Travel Time (Hours:Minutes) .....  | 3.2-10       |
| 3.2-7 Total Door-to-Door Peak HST Mode Travel Times (Hours:Minutes).....   | 3.2-12       |
| 3.2-8 Modal Reliability.....   | 3.2-14       |

|        |   |                |
|--------|---|----------------|
| 3.2-9  | Reliability Statistics for Air Travel in California.....  | 3.2-17         |
| 3.2-10 | Safety Performance by Mode .....  | 3.2-22         |
| 3.2-11 | 2005 Intercity Trip Summary <sup>a</sup> .....  | 3.2-23         |
| 3.2-12 | 2030 Intercity Trip Summary for the Base Case Scenario .....  | 3.2-25         |
| 3.2-13 | 2030 Intercity Trip Summary Sensitivity Analysis Scenario (High-End).....   | 3.2-25         |
| 3.2-14 | 2005 Average Air Frequencies (Flights per Day) by Airport Pair (Each Direction) <sup>a,b</sup> .....              | 3.2-27         |
| 3.2-15 | 2030 High-Speed Train Frequencies (Trains per Day) by Station Pair (Each Direction) .....                         | follows 3.2-28 |
| 3.2-16 | Auto Ownership and Operating Costs by Category (in 2005 Dollars).....   | 3.2-29         |
| 3.2-17 | One-Way Door-to-Door Trip Automobile Costs (in 2005 Dollars)'.....  | 3.2-29         |
| 3.2-18 | Average Air One-Way Door-to-Door Trip Passenger Costs (in 2005 Dollars)....                                       | 3.2-30         |
| 3.2-19 | High-Speed Train One-Way Door-to-Door Trip Passenger Costs (in 2005 Dollars) <sup>a</sup> .....                   | 3.2-31         |
| 3.3-1  | State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....  | 3.3-6          |
| 3.3-2  | Threshold Values Used to Determine Impact Significance .....  | 3.3-11         |
| 3.3-3  | Attainment Status of Affected Air Basins.....   | 3.3-14         |
| 3.3-4  | On-Road Mobile Source Regional Emissions Analysis—No Project Alternative and Pacheco Alignment Alternative .....  | 3.3-18         |
| 3.3-5  | Airplane Emission Burdens—No Project Alternative and Pacheco Alignment Alternative.....                           | 3.3-19         |
| 3.3-6  | Electrical Power Station Emissions—No Project Alternative and Pacheco Alignment Alternative .....                 | 3.3-20         |
| 3.3-7  | Potential Impacts on Air Quality Statewide—Existing, No Project, and Pacheco Alignment Alternative .....          | 3.3-21         |
| 3.3-8  | On-Road Mobile Source Regional Emissions Analysis—No Project Alternative and Altamont Alignment Alternative ..... | 3.3-23         |
| 3.3-9  | Airplane Emission Burdens—No Project Alternative and Altamont Alignment Alternative.....                          | 3.3-24         |
| 3.3-10 | Electrical Power Station Emissions—No Project Alternative and Altamont Alignment Alternative .....                | 3.3-25         |
| 3.3-11 | Potential Impacts on Air Quality Statewide—Existing, No Project, and Altamont Alignment Alternative.....          | 3.3-26         |
| 3.4-1  | Ratings Used for Noise and Vibration Analysis.....  | 3.4-3          |
| 3.4-2  | Interim Criteria for High Speed Train Noise Effects on Animals .....  | 3.4-6          |
| 3.4-3  | Dose Response Relationship between Time Audible and Visitor Annoyance.....  | 3.4-7          |
| 3.4-4  | Noise and Vibration Impact Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....  | 3.4-14         |
| 3.4-5  | Estimated Peak Hour Construction Noise Levels .....   | 3.4-20         |
| 3.4-6  | Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....   | 3.4-21         |
| 3.4-7  | Potential Length and Cost of Noise Mitigation by Alignment.....   | 3.4-23         |
| 3.4-8  | Length of Potential Vibration Impact by Alignment.....  | 3.4-25         |

|        |   |         |
|--------|---|---------|
| 3.5-1  | Direct Energy Consumption Factors.....  | 3.5-3   |
| 3.5-2  | Construction-Related Energy Consumption Factors for the Proposed HST System.....                                    | 3.5-4   |
| 3.5-3  | Annual Intercity Operational Energy Consumption in the Study Area .....   | 3.5-12  |
| 3.5-4  | Annual Operational Energy Consumption in Study Area .....   | 3.5-13  |
| 3.5-5  | Energy Consumption per Passenger Mile Traveled by Mode (PMT) .....  | 3.5-14  |
| 3.5-6  | Non-Recoverable Construction-Related Energy Consumption .....   | 3.5-16  |
| 3.7-1  | Compatibility of Land Use Types .....   | 3.7-3   |
| 3.7-2  | Rankings of Potential Property Impacts .....  | 3.7-4   |
| 3.7.3. | Land Use Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons.....                       | 3.7-19  |
| 3.8-1  | Farmland Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons.....                       | 3.8-6   |
| 3.9-1  | Visual Impacts Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....                | 3.9-7   |
| 3.10-1 | Rankings for Potential Public Utilities Impacts/Conflicts .....   | 3.10-3  |
| 3.10-2 | Public Utilities Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....              | 3.10-6  |
| 3.11-1 | Hazardous Materials Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....           | 3.11-10 |
| 3.12-1 | Cultural Resources Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....            | 3.12-12 |
| 3.13-1 | Ranking System for Comparing Impacts Related to Geology/Soils/Seismicity ....                                       | 3.13-2  |
| 3.13-2 | Geology and Soils Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....             | 3.13-10 |
| 3.13-3 | Fault Crossings by Alignment and Segment .....  | 3.13-15 |
| 3.14-1 | Summary of Direct Water Resource Impacts for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons .....   | 3.14-14 |
| 3.14-2 | Summary of Indirect Water Resource Impacts for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons ..... | 3.14-18 |
| 3.15-1 | Biological Resource Summary Data for Alignment Segments and Station Location Option Comparisons .....               | 3.15-27 |
| 3.16-1 | Rankings for Potential Direct and Proximity Impacts on Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources .....                        | 3.16-3  |
| 3.16-2 | Number of Potential High Impacts on Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources by Corridor .....                               | 3.16-5  |
| 3.16-3 | Summary of Potential Impacts on Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources .....   | 3.16-6  |
| 3.17-1 | Cumulative Projects Associated with HST Network Alternatives..... follows   | 3.17-4  |
| 3.17-2 | Population, Employment (2005-2030) and Urbanization (2002-2030) Trends..  | 3.17-11 |
| 3.18-1 | System Alternative Construction Types.....  | 3.18-1  |

|        |  |      |
|--------|--|------|
| 4.2-1  | High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives Capital Cost (in 2006 dollars), Including Contingencies and Program Implementation Cost..... | 4-2  |
| 4.2-2  | High-Speed Train Passenger Station Cost Summary .....  | 4-13 |
| 4.2-3  | High-Speed Train Network Alternatives Cost Summary (in 2006 dollars).....  | 4-15 |
| 4.2-4  | High-Speed Train Unit Cost (in November 2006 Dollars).....   | 4-17 |
| 4.3-1  | Optimal Express Trip Times between City Pairs (220 mph [350 kph] maximum speed).....   | 4-19 |
| 4.3-2  | Annual Operating and Maintenance Costs (in 2006 dollars) .....   | 4-21 |
| 4.3-3  | Annual Costs of Operating and Maintaining High-Speed Train Infrastructure (in 2006 dollars) .....                                    | 4-22 |
|        |  |      |
| 5.2-1  | Existing Population, Employment, and Urbanized Densities.....  | 5-2  |
| 5.3-1  | Projected Population Growth Rate by Region .....   | 5-8  |
| 5.3-2  | Projected Employment Growth Rate.....  | 5-9  |
| 5.3-3  | Percent of Incremental Growth by Industry .....  | 5-11 |
| 5.3-4  | Increase in Urbanized Area Acreage.....  | 5-12 |
| 5.3-5  | Year 2030 Employment and Population: County and Regional Totals.....   | 5-14 |
| 5.3-6  | Year 2030 Size of Urbanized Area by Alternative.....   | 5-16 |
| 5.3-7  | Potential Land Consumption Efficiencies in the Core Study Area.....  | 5-17 |
| 5.4-1  | Farmland Resources Potentially Affected by Future Urbanization.....  | 5-23 |
| 5.4-2  | Hydrology and Water Resources Potentially Affected by Future Urbanization .....  | 5-27 |
| 5.4-3  | Biological Resources Potentially Affected by Future Urbanization.....  | 5-28 |
| 5.4-4  | Wetlands Potentially Affected by Future Urbanization.....  | 5-29 |
|        |  |      |
| 7.2-1  | Altamont Pass: San Francisco and San Jose Termini (Base Case for Altamont Pass) .....  | 7-4  |
| 7.2-2  | Altamont Pass: Oakland and San Jose Termini.....   | 7-9  |
| 7.2-3  | Altamont Pass: San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose Termini .....   | 7-13 |
| 7.2-4  | Altamont Pass: San Jose Terminus.....  | 7-18 |
| 7.2-5  | Altamont Pass: San Francisco Terminus .....  | 7-21 |
| 7.2-6  | Altamont Pass: Oakland Terminus.....   | 7-25 |
| 7.2-7  | Altamont Pass: Union City Terminus .....   | 7-29 |
| 7.2-8  | Altamont Pass: San Francisco and San Jose – via San Francisco Peninsula .....  | 7-32 |
| 7.2-9  | Altamont Pass: San Francisco, San Jose, and Oakland – with No San Francisco Bay Crossing.....  | 7-36 |
| 7.2-10 | Altamont Pass: Oakland and San Francisco – via Transbay Tube.....  | 7-40 |
| 7.2-11 | Altamont Pass: San Jose, Oakland, and San Francisco – via Transbay Tube.....   | 7-44 |
| 7.2-12 | Pacheco Pass: San Francisco and San Jose Termini (Base Case for Pacheco) .....   | 7-48 |
| 7.2-13 | Pacheco Pass: Oakland and San Jose Termini.....  | 7-52 |
| 7.2-14 | Pacheco Pass: San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini.....  | 7-56 |

|        |   |       |
|--------|---|-------|
| 7.2-15 | Pacheco Pass: San Jose Terminus .....   | 7-60  |
| 7.2-16 | Pacheco Pass: San Francisco, San Jose and Oakland Termini – via Transbay Tube .....                       | 7-63  |
| 7.2-17 | Pacheco Pass: San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini .....  | 7-67  |
| 7.2-18 | Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): San Francisco and San Jose Termini.....                  | 7-71  |
| 7.2-19 | Pacheco Pass with Altamont (Local Service): Oakland and San Jose Termini.....                             | 7-76  |
| 7.2-20 | Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): SF, Oak, and SJ Termini (without Dumbarton Bridge) ..... | 7-80  |
| 7.2-21 | Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): San Jose Terminus .....                                  | 7-85  |
| 7.3-1  | Caltrain: San Francisco to Dumbarton .....  | 7-90  |
| 7.3-2  | Caltrain: Dumbarton to San Jose .....   | 7-92  |
| 7.3-3  | Niles/I-880: Oakland to Niles Junction .....  | 7-94  |
| 7.3-4  | Niles/I-880: Niles Junction to San Jose.....  | 7-98  |
| 7.3-6  | Pacheco Pass Alternatives: San Luis Reservoir to UPRR or BNSF .....                                       | 7-104 |
| 7.3-7  | Altamont Pass Alignment Alternatives: Niles Canyon to Altamont Pass .....                                 | 7-109 |
| 7.3-8  | Altamont Pass Alignment Alternatives: Altamont Pass to UPRR or BNSF Connection .....                      | 7-116 |
| 7.3-9  | Trans Bay Crossings: Oakland to San Francisco .....   | 7-122 |
| 7.3-10 | Transbay Crossing: Dumbarton.....   | 7-125 |
| 7.3-11 | Central Valley Alignment Alternatives .....   | 7-131 |
| 8.1-1  | High-Speed Rail Alignment and Station Evaluation Objectives and Criteria .....                            | 8-2   |
| 9.3-1  | Summary of Key Environmental Impact/Benefits of Alternatives .....  | 9-6   |
| 10.1-1 | Scoping Meeting Locations and Times .....   | 10-3  |
| 10.4-1 | Comment Submittals on the Draft Program EIR/EIS.....  | 10-10 |

**Figures****Follows page**

|        |  |      |
|--------|--|------|
| S.1-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley Corridor .....  | S-2  |
| S.3-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley—High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration..... | S-4  |
| S.9-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley HST Preferred Alternative .....   | S-18 |
| 1.1-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley Corridor .....  | 1-2  |
| 1.2-1  | Intercity Trips .....  | 1-6  |
| 1.2-2  | Existing and Project California Population.....  | 1-6  |
| 1.2-3  | Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports .....   | 1-8  |
| 1.2-4  | Airport Delay—1999* .....  | 1-10 |
| 1.2-5  | 2006 Area Designations for National Ambient Air Quality Standards—Ozone .....  | 1-14 |
| 1.2-6  | Regional Trips—High Growth Corridors (2000—2030) .....   | 1-14 |
| 1.2-7  | Population Growth.....   | 1-14 |
| 1.2-8  | Bay Area Gateways.....   | 1-14 |
| 1.2-9  | Vehicle Hours .....  | 1-14 |
| 1.2-10 | Freeway Capacity .....   | 1-14 |
| 2.3-1  | Relationship between Previous California High-Speed Train Studies .....  | 2-4  |
| 2.3-2  | Initial Phase Corridors (Commission Studies, 1996) .....   | 2-4  |
| 2.3-3  | Corridors for Continued Consideration (Commission Studies, 1996) .....   | 2-4  |
| 2.3-4  | Average Operating Speed on High-Speed Train System .....   | 2-6  |
| 2.3-5  | VHS Technology Examples .....  | 2-8  |
| 2.3-6  | At-Grade Section .....   | 2-10 |
| 2.3-7  | Elevated Structure.....  | 2-10 |
| 2.3-8  | Twin Tunnels .....   | 2-10 |
| 2.4-1  | Study Area Transportation System.....  | 2-20 |
| 2.5-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley—High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration..... | 2-28 |
| 2.5-2  | Alignment Segments and Station Location Options That Compose the High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives .....                                 | 2-30 |
| 2.5-3  | Profile Characteristics .....  | 2-40 |
| 2.5-4  | Relation to Existing Transportation Corridors.....   | 2-40 |
| 2.5-5  | San Francisco to San Jose—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....                  | 2-40 |
| 2.5-6  | Oakland to San Jose—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....                        | 2-40 |
| 2.5-6A | Niles Junction—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....                             | 2-40 |

|        |  |        |
|--------|--|--------|
| 2.5-7  | San Jose to Central Valley—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....             | 2-40   |
| 2.5-8  | East Bay to Central Valley—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....             | 2-42   |
| 2.5-9  | San Francisco Bay Crossings (Transbay)—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration.....  | 2-42   |
| 2.5-10 | San Francisco Bay Crossings (Dumbarton)—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration..... | 2-42   |
| 2.5-11 | Central Valley Alignment—Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Carried Forward for Further Consideration .....               | 2-44   |
| 2.5-12 | Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options Considered but Eliminated from Further Consideration .....                             | 2-44   |
| 2.5-13 | Support Facilities Considered (North).....   | 2-46   |
| 3.1-1  | Bay Area Intercity Highway Links .....   | 3.1-6  |
| 3.1-2  | South Bay and Central Valley Intercity Highway Links.....  | 3.1-6  |
| 3.2-1  | Nationwide Highway Congestion.....   | 3.2-4  |
| 3.2-2  | Bay Area Locations of Worst Congestion (as of 2001) .....  | 3.2-6  |
| 3.3-1  | Air Basins in the Study Region.....  | 3.3-12 |
| 3.3-2  | Pollutants That May Be Affected .....  | 3.3-16 |
| 3.4-1  | Wildlife Impacts at Different Setback Distances and Train Speeds .....   | 3.4-6  |
| 3.4-2  | Typical Day-Night Sound Level Environments .....   | 3.4-10 |
| 3.4-3  | Potential Average Operating Speeds .....   | 3.4-10 |
| 3.4-4  | HT Source Path Receiver Framework .....  | 3.4-10 |
| 3.4-5  | Noise Sources on HST.....  | 3.4-10 |
| 3.4-6  | Potential Noise Impact Levels.....   | 3.4-18 |
| 3.4-7  | Potential Vibration Impact Levels, Northern Mountain Crossing Region .....   | 3.4-24 |
| 3.5-1  | Major Transmission Paths (230 to 500 kilovolt) .....   | 3.5-8  |
| 3.7-1  | Existing Land Use Bay Area to Merced and Sacramento .....  | 3.7-6  |
| 3.8-1  | Farmland Study Area .....  | 3.8-4  |
| 3.8-2  | Bay Area to Central Valley Farmland.....   | 3.8-6  |
| 3.9-1  | Urban Mixed Use Typology.....  | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-2  | Urban Suburban Typology.....   | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-3  | Traditional Small Urban Typology .....   | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-4  | Industrial Typology.....   | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-5  | Rural Agricultural Typology .....  | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-6  | Natural Open Space and Parks Typology.....   | 3.9-4  |
| 3.9-7  | Rail-Industrial Rural Landscape .....  | 3.9-6  |
| 3.9-8a | Grade Separation at Burlingame Station.....  | 3.9-14 |
| 3.9-8b | Grade Separation at Burlingame Station Project Simulation .....  | 3.9-14 |
| 3.9-9  | Future Caltrain Station, Redwood City Downtown Precise Plan .....  | 3.9-16 |

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| 3.9-10a Undercrossing at Fruitvale Avenue.....   | 3.9-18  |
| 3.9-10b Undercrossing at Fruitvale Avenue Project Simulation .....                       | 3.9-18  |
| 3.9-11 Caltrain/UPRR along Monterey Highway .....  | 3.9-20  |
| 3.9-12a HST Crossing South of Gilroy .....   | 3.9-20  |
| 3.9-12b HST Crossing South of Gilroy Project Simulation .....                            | 3.9-20  |
| 3.9-13a HST Viaduct in Pacheco Creek Valley .....  | 3.9-20  |
| 3.9-13b HST Viaduct in Pacheco Creek Valley Project Simulation .....                     | 3.9-20  |
| 3.9-14 Romero Creek from San Joaquin National Cemetery .....                             | 3.9-22  |
| 3.9-15a HST Viaduct along Henry Miller Avenue .....                                      | 3.9-22  |
| 3.9-15b HST Viaduct along Henry Miller Avenue Project Simulation .....                   | 3.9-22  |
| 3.9-16a HST at I-580/680 Interchange .....   | 3.9-24  |
| 3.9-16b HST at I-580/680 Interchange Project Simulation .....                            | 3.9-24  |
| 3.9-17a HST at grade in Livermore .....  | 3.9-26  |
| 3.9-17b HST at grade in Livermore Project Simulation .....                               | 3.9-26  |
| 3.9-18a HST alongside Freeway, Altamont Pass.....  | 3.9-26  |
| 3.9-18b HST alongside Freeway, Altamont Pass Project Simulation .....                    | 3.9-26  |
| 3.9-19 I-580, Altamont Pass .....  | 3.9-26  |
| 3.9-20 Rail Corridor in Tracy .....  | 3.9-28  |
| 3.9-21 Dumbarton Landscape .....   | 3.9-30  |
| 3.9-22 Dumbarton High Bridge .....   | 3.9-30  |
| 3.9-23 Dumbarton Low Trestle .....   | 3.9-30  |
| 3.9-24 Tunnel Crossing at Dumbarton .....  | 3.9-30  |
| 3.9-25 UPRR along SR 99 .....  | 3.9-32  |
| 3.11-1 Potential Vibration Impact Levels.....  | 3.11-6  |
| 3.13-1 Geology in the Study Region .....   | 3.13-8  |
| 3.13-2 Areas Subject to Strong Ground Motion in the Study Region .....                   | 3.13-18 |
| 3.13-3 Areas of Potential Liquefaction in the Study Region .....                         | 3.13-18 |
| 3.13-4a Quaternary Faults and Alquist-Priolo Zoned Faults in the Study Region .....      | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13-4b Quaternary Faults and Alquist-Priolo Zoned Faults in the East Bay Area .....     | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13-4c Quaternary Faults and Alquist-Priolo Zoned Faults in the Calaveras Fault Area .. | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13-5 Oil and Gas Fields in the Study Region .....                                      | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13-6 Areas of Uns Slopes in the Study Region.....                                      | 3.13-20 |
| 3.13-7 Revised Calaveras Fault Location.....   | 3.13-20 |
| 3.14-1 Bay Area to Central Valley Floodplains .....                                      | 3.14-6  |
| 3.14-2 Bay Area to Central Valley Surface Waters .....                                   | 3.14-8  |
| 3.14-3 Bay Area to Central Valley Groundwater.....                                       | 3.14-8  |
| 3.14-4 Bay Area to Central Valley Erodable Soils .....                                   | 3.14-8  |
| 3.15-1 Bay Area to Central Valley Habitat .....  | 3.15-16 |
| 3.15-2 Bay Area to Central Valley Wetlands .....   | 3.15-16 |

|        |  |         |
|--------|--|---------|
| 3.15-3 | Bay Area to Central Valley Wildlife Movement Corridors .....                                     | 3.15-16 |
| 3.15-4 | Public Lands – San Jose to Central Valley Corridor.....  | 3.15-44 |
| 3.16-1 | Publicly Owned Lands .....   | 3.16-6  |
| 3.17-1 | Cumulative Projects .....  | 3.17-4  |
| 3.17-2 | Regional Rail Plan Corridors Map .....   | 3.17-8  |
| 4.3-1  | Maximum Speeds Attained on Alignment Alternatives .....  | 4-20    |
| 5.2-1  | Regions and Counties .....   | 5-4     |
| 5.3-1  | Methodology Overview .....   | 5-6     |
| 5.3-2  | Employment Growth by Industrial Sector .....   | 5-10    |
| 5.3-3  | County-Level Population Growth Under the No Project Alternative .....                            | 5-14    |
| 5.3-4  | County-Level Population Growth under the Pacheco Network Alternative .....                       | 5-16    |
| 5.3-5  | County-Level Population Growth under the Altamont Network Alternative .....                      | 5-16    |
| 5.3-6  | County-Level Employment Growth under the No Project Alternative .....                            | 5-16    |
| 5.3-7  | County-Level Employment Growth under the Pacheco Network Alternative .....                       | 5-16    |
| 5.3-8  | County-Level Employment Growth under the Altamont Network Alternative .....                      | 5-16    |
| 7.2-1  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Francisco and San Jose Termini .....                      | 7-4     |
| 7.2-2  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass Oakland and San Jose Termini .....                            | 7-10    |
| 7.2-3  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini.....             | 7-14    |
| 7.2-4  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Jose Terminus.....  | 7-18    |
| 7.2-5  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Francisco Terminus.....                                   | 7-22    |
| 7.2-6  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass Oakland Terminus.....   | 7-26    |
| 7.2-7  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass Union City Terminus.....                                      | 7-30    |
| 7.2-8  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Francisco and San Jose—via SF Peninsula .....             | 7-32    |
| 7.2-9  | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Francisco, San Jose, and Oakland—no SF Bay Crossing ..... | 7-36    |
| 7.2-10 | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass Oakland and San Francisco—via Transbay Tube.....              | 7-40    |
| 7.2-11 | Network Alternatives Altamont Pass San Jose, Oakland, and San Francisco—via Transbay Tube .....  | 7-44    |
| 7.2-12 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass San Francisco and San Jose Termini.....                        | 7-48    |
| 7.2-13 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass Oakland and San Jose Termini .....                             | 7-52    |
| 7.2-14 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini.....              | 7-56    |
| 7.2-15 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass San Jose Terminus .....  | 7-60    |
| 7.2-16 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass San Jose, San Francisco, and Oakland—via Transbay Tube .....   | 7-64    |

|        |   |       |
|--------|---|-------|
| 7.2-17 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass San Jose, Oakland, and San Francisco—via Transbay Tube .....  | 7-68  |
| 7.2-18 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service) San Francisco and San Jose Termini.....                                  | 7-72  |
| 7.2-19 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass with Altamont (Local Service) Oakland and San Jose Termini.....   | 7-76  |
| 7.2-20 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass with Altamont (Local Service) San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini (without Dumbarton Bridge) ..... | 7-80  |
| 7.2-21 | Network Alternatives Pacheco Pass with Altamont (Local Service) San Jose Terminus .....   | 7-86  |
| 7.3-1  | HST Alignment Alternatives Caltrain (San Francisco to Dumbarton) .....  | 7-90  |
| 7.3-2  | HST Alignment Alternatives Caltrain (Dumbarton to San Jose).....  | 7-92  |
| 7.3-3  | HST Alignment Alternatives Niles/I-880 (Oakland to Niles Junction) .....  | 7-94  |
| 7.3-4  | HST Alignment Alternatives Niles/I-880 (Niles Junction to San Jose) .....   | 7-98  |
| 7.3-5  | HST Alignment Alternatives Pacheco Pass Alternatives (San Jose to San Luis Reservoir) .....   | 7-102 |
| 7.3-6  | HST Alignment Alternatives Pacheco Pass Alternatives (San Luis Reservoir to UPRR or BNSF).....  | 7-104 |
| 7.3-7  | HST Alignment Alternatives Altamont Pass Alignment Alternatives (Niles Canyon to Altamont Pass) .....   | 7-110 |
| 7.3-8  | HST Alignment Alternatives Altamont Pass Alignment Alternatives (Altamont Pass to UPRR or BNSF Connection).....                               | 7-116 |
| 7.3-9  | HST Alignment Alternatives Trans Bay Crossings (Oakland to San Francisco) .....   | 7-122 |
| 7.3-10 | HST Alignment Alternatives Trans Bay Crossings (Dumbarton) .....  | 7-126 |
| 7.3-11 | HST Alignment Alternatives Central Valley Alignment Alternatives` .....   | 7-132 |
| 8.5-1  | Bay Area to Central Valley HST Preferred Alternative .....  | 8-16  |